# Before the Administrative Hearing Commission State of Missouri



MARLENA SMITH,	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	, )	
VS.	)	No. 14-1330 RS
DIRECTOR OF REVENUE,	)	
Respondent.	)	

#### **DECISION**

We dismiss Marlena Smith's appeal because it was untimely filed.

### **Procedure**

On August 4, 2014, Smith filed a complaint appealing an assessment issued to her by the Director of Revenue ("the Director"). On September 5, 2014, the Director filed a motion to dismiss on the ground that the Smith's appeal was untimely. We notified Smith that she could respond to the motion by September 22, 2014, but she filed no response.

We may grant a motion for involuntary dismissal based on a preponderance of admissible evidence. Admissible evidence includes an allegation in the complaint, discovery response of the petitioner, affidavit, or other evidence admissible under the law. The Director filed the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Regulation 1 CSR 15-3.436(3). All references to the CSR are to the Missouri Code of State Regulations as current with amendments included in the Missouri Register through the most recent update.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  Id.

affidavit of a custodian of records with his motion. We base our findings of fact on the contents of Smith's complaint and the Director's affidavit.

## Findings of Fact for Purposes of this Order

- 1. The Director issued an assessment of unpaid sales/use tax to Smith. The assessment was sent to Smith by certified mail on May 2, 2014.
- 2. The assessment is dated May 2, 2014, and states that it will become due and payable 60 days from the assessment date.
  - 3. The assessment contains the following notification:

This assessment is the Final Decision of the Director of Revenue. If you are adversely affected by this decision, you may appeal to the Administrative Hearing Commission. To appeal, you must file a petition with the Administrative Hearing Commission within sixty (60) days after the date this decision was mailed or the date it was delivered, whichever date was earlier. If any such petition is sent by registered mail or certified mail, it will be deemed filed on the date it is mailed. If it is sent by any method other than registered mail or certified mail, it will be deemed filed on the date it is received by the commission as stated in Section 621.050.1, RSMo.

Appeals should be sent to the Administrative Hearing Commission, P.O. Box 1557, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-1557.

4. Smith filed her appeal on August 4, 2014.

#### **Conclusions of Law**

This Commission has jurisdiction over appeals from the Director's final decisions.<sup>3</sup> However, our jurisdiction comes from the statutes alone, and is bounded by those statutes.<sup>4</sup> Section 144.261, which applies to appeals from sales tax assessments, states:

Final decisions of the director under the provisions of this chapter are reviewable by the filing of a petition with the administrative

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Section 621.050. Statutory references are to RSMo 2000, unless otherwise noted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> State Bd. of Regis'n for the Healing Arts v. Masters, 512 S.W.2d 150, 161 (Mo. App., K.C.D. 1974).

hearing commission in the manner provided in section 621.050, RSMo; except that, notwithstanding the provisions of section 621.050, RSMo, to the contrary, such petition must be filed within sixty days after the mailing or delivery of such decision, whichever is earlier.

(Emphasis added.) In this case, the Director mailed his final decision to Smith on May 2, 2014. Although notified of her appeal rights, Smith filed her appeal on August 4, 2014 – more than sixty days after May 2, 2014. Smith's untimely filing deprives us of authority to hear her appeal.

If we have no jurisdiction to hear the appeal, we cannot reach the merits of the case and can only exercise our inherent power to dismiss.<sup>5</sup> Accordingly, we grant the Director's motion.

## **Summary**

We grant the Director's motion to dismiss.

SO ORDERED on October 23, 2014.

\s\ Sreenivasa Rao Dandamudi SREENIVASA RAO DANDAMUDI Commissioner

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> *Oberreiter v. Fullbright Trucking*, 24 S.W.3d 727, 729 (Mo. App. E.D. 2000).